

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Clo

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/624,539 07/23/2003 357672000510 7499 Hirohito Yamamoto EXAMINER **MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP** LEWIS, AARON J 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 300 MCLEAN, VA 22102 3743

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		\bigcap \bigcap
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/624,539	YAMAMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	AARON J. LEWIS	3743
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirt - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi n statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI sply will, by statute, cause the application to become A hs after the mailing date of this communication, even it	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>23 <i>July 200</i>3</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	on for allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the pra	ictice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,7,9 and 23-27</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	s/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,7 and 9</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-27</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to		
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any ol	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ	ling the correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of 1. ☐ Certified copies of the prior		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	ity documents have been received in A	Application No
	es of the priority documents have beer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office ac	ction for a list of the certified copies no	t received.
844 b 44 - 3		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 	v (PTO-948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449	or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross et al. ('456) in view of Maehara et al. ('082).

As to claim 23, Ross et al. disclose mesh plate (69) used to produce an ultrasonic atomizing action, comprising a single plate-shaped body having two surfaces overall and formed to include a multiplicity of minute holes (77) passing through the plate-shaped body from one surface to the other surface (col.6, lines 36-42), said plate-shaped body being continuously deformed at the location of each minute hole in such a manner that each minute hole flares (col.6, lines 40-42) in a direction from the one surface to the other surface.

The difference between Ross et al. and claim 23 is a groove or recess is formed in said one surface between mutually adjacent ones of the minute holes.

Maehara et al., in a mesh plate used to produce an ultrasonic atomizing action, teach a groove or recess (13a) is formed in said one surface between mutually adjacent ones of the minute holes for the purpose of creating a divergent stream of droplets at high speeds (col.3, lines 9-11 and col.4, lines 26-30).

Application/Control Number: 10/624,539

Art Unit: 3743

It would have been obvious to modify the shape of the mesh plate of Ross et al. to include a groove or recess between mutually adjacent ones of the minute holes because it would have provided a means for creating a divergent stream of droplets at high speeds as taught by Maehara et al..

As to claim 24, Ross et al. (col.6, lines 6-8) disclose bonding of mesh plate to the device. It would have been obvious to modify the shape of the outer portions of the mesh plate of Ross et al. to include cut-outs of varying sizes at its periphery in an effort to provide a means for connection of the mesh plate to the device as an obvious matter of design choice with no new or unobvious results accruing. Cut outs would enable other forms of selectively removable connectors to be employed to attach the mesh to the device and also would enable the mesh to be removed for cleaning or replacement.

As to claim 25, said minute holes (77) of Ross et al. (fig.10) are spaced over the surface of the mesh plate. The particular pattern of spacing of the holes can be arrived at through mere routine obvious experimentation and observation with no criticality seen in any particular pattern of spacing including a hexagonal pattern. That is, inasmuch as applicant does not point out any particular criticality in a hexagonal pattern of spacing, the pattern illustrated in Ross et al. (fig.10) is deemed to function as well as a hexagonal pattern of spacing.

As to claim 26, Ross et al. (fig.10) illustrates spaces between holes (77). These spaces are readable upon the claimed small area devoid of formation of holes yet surrounded by holes.

Art Unit: 3743

As to claim 27, Maehara et al. (fig.2) illustrates an area devoid of said minute holes being present at a central portion. That is, holes (13b) are concentrated in the center of the groove or recess but an area immediately surrounding the holes (13b) is devoid of holes, that area being readable upon a central portion.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6,7,9 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The balance of the art is cited to show relevant ultrasonic atomizers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AARON J. LEWIS whose telephone number is (703) 308-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6:00PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HENRY A. BENNETT can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,539

Art Unit: 3743

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AARON J. LEWIS Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743

Aaron J. Lewis September 28, 2004